

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------------|--|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/997,322 | 11/27/2001 | Michael L. Gough | NEO1P026.US02 | 3459 |
| 45965 TECHNOLOG | 7590 08/10/2007 Y & INTELLECTUAL PF | EXAMINER | | |
| STRATEGIES GROUP PC dba TIPS GROUP | | | PHAM, KHANH B | |
| | P. O. BOX 1639 LOS ALTOS, CA 94023-1639 | | | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2166 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 08/10/2007 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

| • | • | mr |
|---|--|--|
| | Application No. | Applicant(s) |
| | 09/997,322 | GOUGH ET AL. |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit |
| | Khanh B. Pham | 2166 |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply | n appears on the cover sheet w | ith the correspondence address |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILIN - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 Ci after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IG DATE OF THIS COMMUNI FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a on. eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MOI statute, cause the application to become A | CATION. reply be timely filed NTHS from the mailing date of this communication. BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). |
| Status | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on . | 23 August 2006 | |
| | This action is non-final. | · |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for all | | ters, prosecution as to the merits is |
| closed in accordance with the practice une | • | • |
| Disposition of Claims | | |
| 4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 33-51</u> is/are pending in the 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with | • • | -72 |
| 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | ndrawn norn consideration. | |
| 6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1 and 33-51</u> is/are rejected. | | |
| 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | |
| 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a | and/or election requirement. | |
| Application Papers | | |
| 9) The specification is objected to by the Exa | miner. | |
| ·= · · = · | accepted or b) objected to | by the Examiner. |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to | • • | · |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the co | • , , | , , |
| 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the | · • | • |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for | reign priority under 35 U.S.C. | § 119(a)-(d) or (f). |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority docur | | |
| 2. Certified copies of the priority docur | | ·· —— |
| 3. Copies of the certified copies of the | • | received in this National Stage |
| application from the International Bu | , | |
| * See the attached detailed Office action for a | a list of the certilled copies hold | received. |
| Attachment(s) | | |
| 1) M Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Interview | Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94) | 8) Paper No(| s)/Mail Date |
| Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | .5) Notice of [6) Other: | Informal Patent Application . |

Application/Control Number: 09/997,322 Page 2

Art Unit: 2166

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed August 23, 2006 has been entered. Claims 37, 40 have been amended. Claims 1, 33-51 are pending in this Office Action.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

3. Claims 1, 33-51 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Budge et al. (US 6,014,689 A), hereinafter "Budge".

As per claim 1, Budge teaches a method for providing an audiovisual e-mail system (Col. 1 lines 34-52) comprising:

- "providing a server connected to a network" at Col. 1 lines 18-23;
 (Budge teaches an e-mail sever connected to the Internet)
- "inputting a message and an audiovisual enhancement which is associated with said message from a sender into said server, said message to be sent as an email to at least one recipient on said network" at Col. 1 lines 53-64;

Art Unit: 2166

(Budge teaches the step of associating video and audio data (i.e., "audiovisual enhancement") to a video e-mail message)

"associating said message with a self-executing programmable enhancement operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in conjunction with said message" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and Col. 8 lines 10-25;
 (Budge teaches a self-executable video e-mail player attached to the e-mail message, the player decodes input bitstream and displaying video and audio data in the e-mail message)

"and sending said e-mail over said network to said at least one recipient" at Col.
2 lines 25-27.

As per claim 33, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 39.

As per claim 34, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 35, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 36, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1, wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of a recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

Art Unit: 2166

As per claim 37, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 1 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on a sender's machine, and is transmitted to said server over said network" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

As per claim 38, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 37 wherein "said network includes a TCP/IP network" at Col. 1 lines 20-21.

(Budge teaches the network includes the Internet, which is the network that uses TCP/IP protocol)

As per claim 39, Budge teaches a method as recited in claim 38 wherein "said network includes the Internet" at Col. 1 lines 20-21.

As per claim 40, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system comprising:

- "a code segment transmitting over a network to a server an audiovisual
 enhancement which is associated with a message from a sender, said message
 to be sent as an e-mail to at least one recipient on said network" at Col. 1 lines
 53-64;
- "a code segment associating said message with a self-executing code segment operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in conjunction with said message upon the selection of said message by said at least one recipient" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and Col. 8 lines 10-25.

As per claim 41, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40

Art Unit: 2166

wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 39.

As per claim 42, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 43, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 44, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of said recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

As per claim 45, Budge teaches computer program segments embodied in computer readable media to provide an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 40 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on a sender's machine" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

As per claim 46, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system comprising:

 "means transmitting over a network to a server from a sender machine an audiovisual enhancement which is associated with a message from said sender",

Art Unit: 2166

said message to be sent as an e-mail to at least one recipient on said network" at Col. 1 lines 53-64; and

"means associating said message with a self-executing program operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network and to display said audiovisual enhancement in conjunction with said message on a recipient machine upon the selection of said message by said at least one recipient" at Col. 2 lines 2-10 and Col. 8 lines 10-25.

As per claim 47, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes both audio and visual components" at Col. 1 lines 25-27.

As per claim 48, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only an audio component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 49, Budge teaches an audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes only a visual component" at Col. 2 lines 10-11.

As per claim 50, Budge teaches the audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement includes a streaming video displayed within a window of said recipient's machine" at Col. 5 lines 4-17.

As per claim 51, Budge teaches the audiovisual e-mail system as recited in claim 46 wherein "said audiovisual enhancement is developed on said sender's machine" at Col. 1 lines 36-44.

Art Unit: 2166

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments filed August 23, 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive. The examiner respectfully traverses applicant's arguments.

Regarding claim 1, applicant argued that Budge does not teach or suggest associating said message with a self-executing programmable enhancement operative to stream said audiovisual enhancement, at least in part, from said server over said network." The examiner respectfully disagrees.

First, applicant argued that Budge merely attaches an audiovisual file to an e-mail message, but does not associates it with the email message. On the contrary, there is no different between attaching a file to an email and associating a file to an email.

Second, applicant argued that Budge's audiovisual file is not streamed over the network. On the contrary, Techweb Encyclopedia defines "streaming" as "Transferring or transmitting data". Budge teaches the video file is transferred from a sender to an Email client computer and therefore anticipated the claimed limitation.

Third, applicant argued that Budge does not teaches "self-executing", However, Budge teaches that the video e-mail message are sent as "self-contained executable"

Art Unit: 2166

video files", so that the video files can be played by itself, without the need of an external player (i.e. "self-executing".)

"a significant feature of this invention is the ability to attach an executable version of the video e-mal player 220 to a video e-mail message file 500. This feature allows the receiving sub-system 4 to play a video e-mail message without the necessity of previously installing special software at the receiving sub-system 4, such as the video email player 220" (Budge, Col. 6 lines 5-12.)

In light of the foregoing arguments, the 35 U.S.C 102 rejection is hereby sustained.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of

Art Unit: 2166

the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Khanh B. Pham whose telephone number is (571) 272-4116. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 7:30am to 4:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Hosain Alam can be reached on (571) 272-3978. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Khanh B. Pham Primary Examiner Art Unit 2166

August 7, 2007